The COVID-19 pandemic has presented the radiology and radiation oncology communities with previously unprecedented challenges. Many of these challenges have exacerbated long-standing issues, which disproportionately affect women in radiology and radiation oncology specialties. We applaud the American Board of Radiology (ABR) for adapting and effectively restructuring the ABR Core and Certifying examinations for diagnostic radiology [1], the oral certifying examinations for radiation oncology [2], and the initial certifying exams with oral component for medical physics [3] to virtual environments in the 2020–2021 academic year. These changes lessen the negative impact that rescheduling in-person ABR examinations have on family planning and childcare arrangements. We are pleased that the announcement referred to all future examinations being virtual, as we believe this transition to a virtual examination environment will have benefits beyond the pandemic, not only with regard to trainees’ work-life integration but also may enhance the fairness of the examination itself (by introducing opportunities for recording and group review of marginal candidates’ responses). Additionally, we hope that this signals the ABR’s openness to considering other potentially beneficial changes, such as improvements in the ABR’s lactation accommodation policy during examinations.

We respect the ABR’s role in maintaining the public trust by ensuring that all board-certified specialists meet the high standards of competency expected of professionals in our field. We commend the ABR for maintaining its important role as a fiduciary to both the profession and society and overcoming challenges to identifying a remote testing solution to add much needed flexibility for radiology and radiation oncology trainees. We hope the ABR’s openness to embrace virtual examination will further drive the development of a fair and equitable examination process, including the consideration of multiple testing dates throughout the year to accommodate family planning or other major life events.

The ABR examination process for radiology and radiation oncology is specifically challenging for those initiating or caring for family members. While virtual examinations provide flexibility and prevent the significant financial burden incurred by travel, the current process continues to ostracize women who are 32 weeks or more pregnant and creates challenges for parents who need to arrange for childcare during their absence.

Due to the high stakes of preparing for the ABR examinations and the approximately 3-month preparation time period, both women and men preparing for these examinations are often forced to plan pregnancies and other major life events, such as weddings and relocation, according to the examination schedule. While the lack of flexibility with the examination process is inconvenient for any resident planning a family, the negative impact is far greater on women because of the physical demands of parturition and lactation as well as the ongoing gendered societal expectations of mothers. Test dates may fall close enough to a woman’s due date that she may be unable to take her examination on schedule with her peers, delaying licensing processes, and affecting future employment and salary start dates. Despite men’s current increased contribution to childcare when compared to earlier generations, the majority of the childcare burden continues to land on women, leaving them less time to meet limited, firm, set examination dates [4].

Increasing the number of test dates available would offer family-friendly flexibility for those preparing for the examination and also benefit all residents.

Over the past several weeks, accounts of negative lactation experiences during ABR examinations have been shared on social media by breastfeeding women in radiology and radiation oncology. From this, it has become apparent that the current ABR arrangement for lactating mothers demonstrates an opportunity for improvement. The ABR Core examination is 7.5 h with 30 min of break time for all examinees; lactating women are provided an additional 30 min. In comparison, the American Board of Surgery (ABS) General Surgery Qualifying Examination is 8 h, for which 70 min of break time is allotted for all examinees; lactating mothers are allowed an additional 60 min of break time [5]. The American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM) certification examination is 10 h with 100 min of break time for all examinees; lactating mothers are allowed an additional 60 min of break time [6].

Taking into consideration the time it takes to prepare for breast pumping, physically pump, properly store milk, rinse pump parts and redress, the lactation accommodations from the ABR are notably insufficient when compared to the ABS and ABIM. On average, lactating women pump breast milk every 2–4 h for up to 30 min duration [7].

One pumping session during an 8-h examination is insufficient to meet this necessity, especially if the lactating mother is pumping for an infant under the age of 6 months (often entirely sustained by breast milk). We urge the ABR to extend its lactation break policy in parallel with the transition to a virtual testing environment, as part of its forward-thinking embrace of change. This policy change has the potential to mitigate unnecessary burdens on examinees while maintaining the high threshold to ensure clinical competency within our fields.

Women are markedly underrepresented in radiology and radiation oncology [8,9]. While current representation of women on the ABR Board of Governors remains low (12%) [10], recent national efforts aimed at examining gender diversity within radiology and radiation oncology leadership is noteworthy. We are hopeful that this will drive positive developments that may generate more family-friendly policies [11–13]. We thank the ABR for transitioning examinations to a virtual environment in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, a commitment that will benefit trainees of all genders. This support can be further...
extended by increasing the number of examination dates offered and increasing the amount of break time allocated to lactating examination takers in order to continue to offer a fair and equitable exam for all trainee members.
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